arXiv:1209.4096v1 [math.PR] 18 Sep 2012

Upper estimates of transition densities for stable-dominated semigroups

Kamil Kaleta and Paweł Sztonyk

September 20, 2012

Abstract

We derive upper estimates of transition densities for Feller semigroups with jump intensities lighter than that of the rotation invariant stable Lévy process.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $d = 1, 2, \ldots$. For the rotation invariant α - stable Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the Lévy measure

$$\nu(dy) = \frac{c}{|y|^{\alpha+d}}\, dy, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \tag{1}$$

---

[0] Kamil Kaleta
Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw,
ul. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland.

[0] Kamil Kaleta and Paweł Sztonyk
Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wrocław University of Technology,
Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland.
e-mail: Kamil.Kaleta@pwr.wroc.pl, Pawel.Sztonyk@pwr.wroc.pl

[0]2000 *MS Classification*: Primary 60J75, 60J35; Secondary 47D03.
Key words and phrases: Feller semigroup, heat kernel, transition density, stable - dominated semigroup

the asymptotic behaviour of its transition densities $p(t,x,y)$ is well-know (see, e.g., [1]), i.e.

$$p(t,x,y) \approx \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right), \quad t>0,\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Estimates of densities for more general classes of stable and other jump Lévy processes gradually extended. Obtained results contained estimates for general stable processes in [28, 3] and tempered and layered stable processes in [24] and [26].

In [25] estimates of semigroups of stable-dominated Feller operators are given. The corresponding Markov process is a Feller process and not necessarily a Lévy process. The name *stable dominated* refers to the fact that the intensity of jumps for the investigated semigroup is dominated by (1). In the present paper we extend the results obtained in [25] and give estimates from above for a wider class of semigroups with intensity of jumps lighter than stable processes. We will now describe our results.

Let $f:\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto [0,\infty]$ be a Borel function. We consider the following assumptions on f.

(A.1) There exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$f(x,y) \le M\frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}, \quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ y\neq x,$$

where $\phi:\ [0,\infty)\to(0,1]$ is a Borel measurable function such that

(a) $\phi(a)=1$ for $a\in[0,1]$ and there is a constant $c_1=c_1(\phi)$ such that

$$\phi(a)\le c_1\phi(b), \quad |a-b|\le 1,$$

(b) $\phi\in C^2(1,\infty)$ and there is a constant $c_2=c_2(\phi,\alpha,d)$ such that

$$\max\left(|\phi'(a)|,|\phi''(a)|\right)\le c_2\phi(a)$$

for every $a>1$.

(c) there is $c_3=c_3(\phi,\alpha,d)$ such that

$$\int_{|x-z|\ge1,|y-z|\ge1}\frac{\phi(|y-z|)}{|y-z|^{\alpha+d}}\frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}}\,dz \le c_3\frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}},$$

for every $|x-y|>2$.

(A.2) $f(x, x+h) = f(x, x-h)$ for all $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, or $\alpha < 1$.

(A.3) $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(A.4) There exists a constant $c_4 = c_4(\phi, \alpha, d)$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x,y)}{\phi(|y-x|)}\, dy \geq c_4 \varepsilon^{-\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Denote

$$b_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} f(x,y)\, dy, \quad \varepsilon > 0,\, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It follows from (A.1) that there is also the constant $c_5 = c_5(\phi, \alpha, d)$ such that

$$\bar{b}_\varepsilon := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} b_\varepsilon(x) \leq c_5 \varepsilon^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1.$$

Thus, (A.4) is a partial converse of (A.1) and we have

$$\underline{b}_\varepsilon := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} b_\varepsilon(x) \geq c_6 \varepsilon^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

for constants $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\phi, \alpha, d), c_6 = c_6(\phi, \alpha, d)$.

We note that the assumption (A.1)(c) is satisfied for every nonincreasing function $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, 1]$ such that

$$\phi(a)\phi(b) \leq c\, \phi(a+b), \quad a, b > 1,$$

for some positive constant c. Therefore it is easy to verify that all the assumptions on ϕ are satisfied, e.g., for functions $\phi(s) = e^{(1-s^\beta)} \wedge 1$, where $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $\phi(s) = (1 \vee s)^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma > 0$, $\phi(s) = 1/\log(e(s \vee 1))$, $\phi(s) = 1/\log\log(e^e(s \vee 1))$, and all their products and positive powers.

It is also reasonable to ask if the conditions in the assumption (A.1) are satisfied by more general functions of the form

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in [0,1], \\ e^{-ms^\beta} s^\gamma & \text{if } s > 1, \text{ with } m, \beta > 0,\ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

In this case, both conditions (a) and (b) on ϕ hold for $\beta \in (0, 1]$ with no further restrictions on parameters m and γ, while, as proven in Section 3, the condition (c) is satisfied when $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $\gamma < d/2 + \alpha - 1/2$. Furthermore, this restriction on parameters is essential (see Remark 1 in Section 3). Note also that this range of β and γ in (2) covers, e.g., jump intensities dominated by those of isotropic relativistic stable processes (see e.g. [20, Lemma 2.3]).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$ we let $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y-x| < r\}$. $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of bounded Borel measurable functions, $C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of k times continuously differentiable functions with compact support and $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of continuous functions vanishing at infinity. We use c, C (with subscripts) to denote finite positive constants which depend only on ϕ (the constant M), α and the dimension d. Any *additional* dependence is explicitly indicated by writing, e.g., $c = c(n)$. The value of c, C, when used without subscripts, may change from place to place. We write $f(x) \approx g(x)$ to indicate that there is a constant c such that $c^{-1}f(x) \le g(x) \le cf(x)$.

Under the assumptions (A.1) and (A.2) we may consider the operator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}\varphi(x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\, f(x,y)\, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) - h\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)\mathbf{1}_{|h|<1}\right) f(x,x+h)\, dh \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{|h|<1} h\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)\left(f(x,x+h) - f(x,x-h)\right)\, dh, \quad \varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d).\end{aligned}$$

Recall the following basic fact (see [25, Lemma 1]).

LEMMA 1 *If (A.1), (A.2) hold and the function $x \to f(x,y)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{y\}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then $\mathcal{A}$ maps $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

In the following we always assume that the condition (A.1) is satisfied. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote

$$f_\varepsilon(x,y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,\varepsilon)^c}(y-x) f(x,y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy, \quad \varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Note that the operators $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ are bounded since $|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x)| \le 2\|\varphi\|_\infty b_\varepsilon(x) \le 2\bar{b}_\varepsilon\|\varphi\|_\infty$. Therefore the operator

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^n}{n!}, \quad t \ge 0,\, \varepsilon > 0,$$

is well–defined and bounded from $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the family of operators $\{e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon},\, t \le 0\}$ is a semigroup on $B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., $e^{(t+s)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}e^{s\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$ for all $t, s \ge 0$, $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We note that $e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}$ is positive for all $t \ge 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ (see (5)).

Our first result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *If (A.1) – (A.4) are satisfied then there exist the constants C_1 and C_2 such that for every nonnegative $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0 \wedge 1)$ we have*

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) \le C_1 e^{C_2 t} \int \varphi(y) \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right) dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x),$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 2. To study a limiting semigroup we will need some additional assumptions.

(A.5) The function $x \to f(x,y)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{y\}$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(A.6) $\mathcal{A}$ regarded as an operator on $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is closable and its closure $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a generator of a strongly continuous contraction semigroup of operators $\{P_t,\, t \ge 0\}$ on $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Clearly, for every $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0) \ge 0$ we have $\mathcal{A}\varphi(x_0) \le 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *the positive maximum principle*. This implies that all P_t $(t \ge 0)$ are positive operators (see [8, Theorems 1.2.12 and 4.2.2]). Thus, by our assumptions, $\{P_t,\, t \ge 0\}$ is *a Feller semigroup*.

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 2 *If (A.1)–(A.6) hold then there is $p : (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,\infty)$ such that*

$$P_t\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) p(t,x,y)\, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, t > 0,\, \varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and

$$p(t,x,y) \le C_1 e^{C_2 t} \min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\, t > 0. \tag{3}$$

We note that $\mathcal{A}$ is conservative, i.e., for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$, $\varphi(0) = 1$, and $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi(x/k)$, we have $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{A}\varphi_k\|_\infty < \infty$, and $\lim_{k\to\infty}(\mathcal{A}\varphi_k)(x) = 0$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It follows from Theorem 4.2.7 in [8] that there exists a Markov process $\{X_t,\, t \ge 0\}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t)|X_0 = x] = P_t\varphi(x)$.

It is known that every generator G of a Feller semigroup with $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$ is necessarily of the form

$$G\varphi(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} q_{ij}(x) D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \varphi(x) + l(x)\nabla\varphi(x) - c(x)\varphi(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) - h\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)\,\mathbf{1}_{|h|<1}\right)\nu(x,dh)\,, \tag{4}$$

where $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $q(x) = (q_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a nonnegative definite real symmetric matrix, the vector $l(x) = (l_i(x))_{i=1}^d$ has real coordinates, $c(x) \ge 0$, and $\nu(x,\cdot)$ is a Lévy measure (see [15, Chapter 4.5]).

The converse problem whether a given operator G generates a Feller semigroup is not completely resolved yet. For the interested reader we remark that criteria are given, e.g., in [11, 12, 13, 14, 16]. Generally, smoothness of the coefficients q, l, c, ν in (4) is sufficient for the existence (see Theorem 5.24 in [10], Theorem 4.6.7 in [17] and Lemma 2 in [25]). Other conditions are given also in [22].

Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim and T. Kumagai in [6, 7, 5] investigate the case of symmetric jump–type Markov processes on metric measure spaces by using Dirichlet forms. Under the assumption that the corresponding jump kernels are *comparable* with certain rotation invariant functions, they prove the existence and obtain estimates of the densities (see Theorem 1.2 in [5]) analogous to (3). In the present paper we propose completely different approach which is based on general approximation scheme recently devised in [25]. In Theorem 2 we assume the estimate (A.1) from above but we use (A.4) as the only estimate for the size of f from below. We also emphasize that we obtain exactly $\phi(|x-y|)$ in (3) and from [7, 5] follow estimates with $\phi(c|x-y|)$ for some constant $c \in (0,1)$. This seems to be essential especially in the case of exponentially localized Lévy measures. Our general framework, including a layout of lemmas, is similar to that in [25]. However, in the present case the decay of the jump intensity may be significantly lighter than stable and, therefore, much more subtle argument is needed. Note that the new condition (A.1)(c), which is pivotal for our further investigations, is necessary for the two-sided sharp bounds similar to the right hand side of (3).

Other estimates of Lévy and Lévy-type transition densities are discussed in [18, 19]. In [21, 23] the derivatives of stable densities have been considered, while bounds of heat kernels of the fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators were studied in [2]. An alternative approximation scheme is given in [4].

2 Approximation

In this section we apply an approximation scheme recently devised in [25]. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) &= \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\, f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy + (\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)) \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\delta_x(dy)\\ &= \int (\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(x,dy)\\ &= \Gamma_\varepsilon\varphi(x) - \bar{b}_\varepsilon\varphi(x), \quad \varphi\in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d),\, x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(x,dy) = f_\varepsilon(x,y)\, dy + (\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x))\delta_x(dy),$$

and

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \int \varphi(y)\tilde{\nu}_\varepsilon(x,dy), \quad \varphi\in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d), x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

This yields that

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) = e^{t(\Gamma_\varepsilon - \bar{b}_\varepsilon I)}\varphi(x) = e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon}e^{t\Gamma_\varepsilon}\varphi(x). \tag{5}$$

A consequence of (5) is that we may consider the operator Γ_ε and its powers instead of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. The fact that Γ_ε is positive enables for more precise estimates.

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned}f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &= \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)f_\varepsilon(z,y)\, dz\\ &\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \;+\; \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n f_\varepsilon(x,y),\end{aligned}$$

where we let $f_{1,\varepsilon} = f_\varepsilon$. By induction and Fubini–Tonelli theorem we get

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y)\, dy = \bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\, n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{6}$$

Also, it was proved in [25, Lemma 3] that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^n \varphi(x) = \int \varphi(z) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)\, dz + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n \varphi(x), \tag{7}$$

whenever $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The next lemma is crucial for our further investigation. The significance of the inequalities below is that before the expressions on the right hand side we obtain precisely the constants equal to one.

LEMMA 2 *We have the following.*

1. *If (A.1), (A.2) and (A.4) hold then there is a constant $c_7 = c_7(\phi, \alpha, d)$ and the number $\kappa \in (0,1)$ such that*
$$\int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |z-x|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(y,z) dz \le \left(b_\varepsilon(y) + c_7\right) |y-x|^{-\alpha-d},$$
for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
2. *If (A.1) and (A.2) hold then there is a constant $c_8 = c_8(\phi, \alpha, d)$ such that*
$$\int_{B(y,1)} \frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}} f_\varepsilon(y,z) dz \le \left(b_\varepsilon(y) + c_8\right) \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}},$$
for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and for every $|x-y| > 2$.

Proof. First we prove the statement (1). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |z-x|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(y,z)\, dz \\
&\qquad = \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} \left[|z-x|^{-\alpha-d} - |y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\right] f_\varepsilon(y,z) dz \\
&\qquad + |y-x|^{-\alpha-d} \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} f_\varepsilon(y,z) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

We only need to estimate the first integral on the right hand side of the above equality. Denote $\theta(z) := |z-x|^{-\alpha-d}$, $|z-x| > 0$.

$$\partial_j \theta(z) = (\alpha+d)|z-x|^{-\alpha-d-2}(x_j - z_j), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\partial_{j,k}\theta(z) = (\alpha+d)|z-x|^{-\alpha-d-2}\left[(\alpha+d+2)\frac{(x_j-z_j)(x_k-z_k)}{|x-z|^2}-\delta_{jk}\right].$$

This yields

$$\sup_{\substack{z\in B(y,\kappa|y-x|),\\ j,k\in\{1,\ldots,d\}}} |\partial_{j,k}\theta(z)| \le (\alpha+d)(\alpha+d+3)(1-\kappa)^{-\alpha-d-2}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d-2}, \tag{9}$$

for every $\kappa\in(0,1)$. Using the Taylor expansion for θ, (8) and (9), (A.1), (A.2) and (A.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} \left[|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}-|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\right] f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz\right| \\
= &\left|\int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} (\theta(y+h)-\theta(y))\, f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)\,dh\right| \\
\le &\left|\int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} (\theta(y+h)-\theta(y)-\nabla\theta(y)\cdot h)\, f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)\,dh\right| \\
+ &\left|\int_{B(0,\kappa|y-x|)} \nabla\theta(y)\cdot h\,\frac{f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)-f_\varepsilon(y,y-h)}{2}\,dh\right| \\
\le\; & C|y-x|^{-\alpha-d}|y-x|^{-\alpha}\kappa^{1-\alpha}(\kappa(1-\kappa)^{-\alpha-d-2}+1) \\
\le\; & |y-x|^{-\alpha-d}\left(\mathbf{1}_{s<\varepsilon_0}(|y-x|)\int_{|z-y|>\kappa|y-x|} f(y,z)\,dz + c_7\mathbf{1}_{s\ge\varepsilon_0}(|y-x|)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $\kappa\in(0,1)$. This ends the proof of (1).

We now show the statement (2). Let $|x-y|>2$. Similarly as before we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(y,1)} \frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}} f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz = &\int_{B(y,1)} \left[\frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}} - \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right] f_\varepsilon(y,z)dz \\
&+ \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\int_{B(y,1)} f_\varepsilon(y,z)dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that it is enough to estimate the first integral on the right hand side of the above-displayed equality. Denote $\eta(z) := \phi(|z-x|)|z-x|^{-\alpha-d}$. Clearly, by (A.1) (a)-(b), we have

$$\max\left(\sup_{\substack{z\in B(y,1),\\ j\in\{1,\ldots,d\}}} |\partial_j\eta(z)|,\ \sup_{\substack{z\in B(y,1),\\ j,k\in\{1,\ldots,d\}}} |\partial_{j,k}\eta(z)|\right) \le C\eta(y). \tag{10}$$

Using the Taylor expansion for η, (10), (A.1) and (A.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{B(y,1)} \left[\frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}} - \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right] f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{B(0,1)} (\eta(y+h)-\eta(y))\, f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)\,dh\right| \\
\leq & \left|\int_{B(0,1)} (\eta(y+h)-\eta(y)-\nabla\eta(y)\cdot h)\, f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)\,dh\right| \\
+ & \left|\int_{B(0,1)} \nabla\eta(y)\cdot h\, \frac{f_\varepsilon(y,y+h)-f_\varepsilon(y,y-h)}{2}\,dh\right| \\
\leq & \; c_8\eta(y).
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. □

We now obtain estimates of $f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y)$. Our argument in the proof of the following lemma shows significance of assumptions on the dominating function ϕ.

Lemma 3 *If (A.1) – (A.4) hold then:*

(1) there exists a constant $c_9 = c_9(\phi,\alpha,d)$ *such that*

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \leq c_9 n \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_7\right)^{n-1} |y-x|^{-\alpha-d},$$

for every $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(2) there exist the constants $c_{10} = c_{10}(\phi,\alpha,d)$ *and* $c_{11} = c_{11}(\phi,\alpha,d)$ *such that*

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \leq c_{10} n \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11}\right)^{n-1} \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}},$$

for every $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We use induction. Clearly, for $n=1$ both inequalities hold with constants $c_9 = M$, $c_{10} = M$ (and an arbitrary positive c_{11}), respectively. Consider first the inequality in (1). We will prove that it holds with constant $c_9 = M\kappa^{-\alpha-d}$, where $\kappa \in (0,1)$ is the number from previous lemma.

Let

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)dz = \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)^c} + \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} = I + II.$$

By (A.1) (a) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\le \kappa^{-\alpha-d} M \left|y-x\right|^{-\alpha-d} \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)dz \\ &= \kappa^{-\alpha-d} M \left|y-x\right|^{-\alpha-d} \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - (\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x))^n\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry of f (see (A.3)), induction and Lemma 2 (1), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\le c_9 n(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_7)^{n-1} \int_{B(y,\kappa|y-x|)} |x-z|^{-\alpha-d} f_\varepsilon(y,z)dz \\ &\le c_9 n(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_7)^{n-1}(b_\varepsilon(y)+c_7)|x-y|^{-\alpha-d}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &= I + II + \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\big) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) + \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\big)^n f_\varepsilon(x,y) \\ &\le M\kappa^{-\alpha-d} \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\big)^n\right] |y-x|^{-\alpha-d} \\ &\quad + c_9 n(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_7)^{n-1}(b_\varepsilon(y)+c_7)|x-y|^{-\alpha-d} \\ &\quad + \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\big) c_9 n(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_7)^{n-1}|x-y|^{-\alpha-d} \\ &\quad + \big(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\big)^n M|x-y|^{-\alpha-d} \\ &\le c_9(n+1)(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_7)^n |x-y|^{-\alpha-d}, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of part (1).

We now complete the proof of the inequality in (2). We will prove that it holds with constants $c_{10} = c_1 \max(c_9, 2^{\alpha+d}M)$ and $c_{11} = \max(c_7, c_8 + Mc_3)$. When $|x-y| \le 2$, then it directly follows from the part (1) and (A.1)(a). Assume now that $|x-y| > 2$. We have

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)dz = \int_{B(x,1)} + \int_{B(x,1)^c} = I + II.$$

By (A.1) (a) and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &\le 2^{\alpha+d} M c_1 \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)dz \\ &= 2^{\alpha+d} M c_1 \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - (\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x))^n\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry of f (see (A.3)), induction, Lemma 2 (2) and (A.1) (c), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\le c_{10} n (\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11})^{n-1} \int_{B(x,1)^c} \frac{\phi(|x-z|)}{|x-z|^{\alpha+d}} f_\varepsilon(y,z)dz \\ &\le c_{10} n (\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11})^{n-1} (b_\varepsilon(y) + c_8 + M c_3) \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{\alpha+d}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &= I + II + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n f_\varepsilon(x,y) \\ &\le 2^{\alpha+d} M c_1 \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right] \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \\ &\quad + c_{10} n (\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11})^{n-1} (b_\varepsilon(y) + c_8 + M c_3) \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{\alpha+d}} \\ &\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) c_{10} n (\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11})^{n-1} \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{\alpha+d}} \\ &\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n M \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{\alpha+d}} \\ &\le c_{10}(n+1)(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11})^n \frac{\phi(|x-y|)}{|x-y|^{\alpha+d}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 4 *Assume (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4). Then there exists* $c_{12} = c_{12}(\phi, \alpha, d)$ *such that*

(11)

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le c_{12} \bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha} \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0),\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ by (A.1) and (A.4) we have

$$f_\varepsilon(x,y) \le \frac{M}{\varepsilon^{\alpha+d}} \le M \left(\frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{c_6}\right)^{(\alpha+d)/\alpha} \le M \left(\frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{c_6}\right) \left(\frac{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}{c_6}\right)^{d/\alpha},$$

and so (11) holds with $c_{12} = M\, c_6^{-d/\alpha - 1}$. Let (11) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$c_{12} = M\, {c_6}^{-d/\alpha-1}$. By induction and the symmetry of f_ε we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &\le c_{12}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right)\left(\int f_\varepsilon(y,z)\,dz + \bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n c_{12}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha} b_\varepsilon(x) \\ &= c_{12}(\bar{b}_\varepsilon)^{d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+1} - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following lemma we will need some additional notation. For a function g we denote: $b_\varepsilon^g(x) := \int_{|y-x|>\varepsilon} g(|y-x|) f_\varepsilon(x,y)dy$ and $\bar{b}_\varepsilon^g = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} b_\varepsilon^g(x)$. We note that it follows from (A.1) that

$$\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}} \le c_{13}\varepsilon^{-\alpha}.$$

LEMMA 5 *If (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4) are satisfied then there exist* $c_{14} = c_{14}(\phi,\alpha,d)$ *and* $c_{15} = c_{15}(\phi,\alpha,d)$ *such that*

(12)

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha}, \quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ \varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0\wedge 1),\ n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We may choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1 - c_6/c_5)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha} < \frac{1}{n+1} \tag{13}$$

for every $n \ge n_0$. For $n \le n_0$ by Lemma 4 we have

$$f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) \le c_{12}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{d/\alpha}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n \le c_{12}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} n_0^{d/\alpha},$$

which yields the inequality (12) with $c_{14} = c_{12}n_0^{d/\alpha}$ in this case. For $n \ge n_0$ we use induction. We assume that (12) holds for some $n \ge n_0$ with $c_{14} = \max(c_{12}n_0^{d/\alpha}, M\,\eta^{-\alpha-d}{c_6}^{-1-d/\alpha})$ and $c_{15} = \bar{b}_1^{\frac{1}{\phi}-1}$, where

$$p = \frac{d\,2^{\max(d/\alpha,1)-1}}{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \left(\frac{c_4^2/(c_{13}(c_5+c_{15}))}{2+2p}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

We have

$$\int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dz = \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})^c} + \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})} = I + II.$$

By (A.1), (A.4) and (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})^c} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dz \\
&\le M \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})^c} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) |y-z|^{-\alpha-d}\,dz \\
&\le M\,\eta^{-\alpha-d}\varepsilon^{-\alpha-d}(n+1)^{-1-d/\alpha} \int f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z)\,dz \\
&\le M\,\eta^{-\alpha-d} c_6{}^{-1-d/\alpha} \bar{b}_\varepsilon^{1+d/\alpha} (n+1)^{-1-d/\alpha} \left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, the symmetry of f_ε and (A.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
II &= \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})} f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,z) f_\varepsilon(z,y)\,dz \\
&\le c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} \int_{B(y,\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha})} \frac{f_\varepsilon(y,z)}{\phi(|z-y|)}\,dz \\
&= c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} \left(b_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y) - b_{\eta\varepsilon(n+1)^{1/\alpha}}^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y)\right) \\
&\le c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} b_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y) \left(1 - \frac{c_4\eta^{-\alpha}}{c_{13}(n+1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (13) we also have

$$\left(1 - \frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\right)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha} \le (1 - c_6/c_5)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha} \le \frac{1}{n+1}. \tag{14}$$

Using the fact that $\phi(a) = 1$ for $a \in [0,1]$ and $b_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y) - b_\varepsilon(y) = b_1^{\frac{1}{\phi}-1}(y) \le c_{15}$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) &= I + II + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) f_{n,\varepsilon}(x,y) + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n f_\varepsilon(x,y) \\
&\leq c_{14}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-1-d/\alpha}\left[\bar{b}_\varepsilon^n - \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n\right] \\
&\quad + c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} b_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y)\left(1 - \frac{c_4\eta^{-\alpha}}{c_{13}(n+1)}\right) \\
&\quad + c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(y)\right) \\
&\quad + c_{14}\bar{b}_\varepsilon^{1+d/\alpha}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n \\
&\leq c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\right)^n\right)\right. \\
&\quad - \frac{b_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{d/\alpha}\frac{c_4\eta^{-\alpha}}{c_{13}(n+1)} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{d/\alpha} \\
&\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{b_\varepsilon(x)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\right)^n (n+1)^{d/\alpha}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By (A.1), (A.4), (14) and the following inequality

$$\frac{b_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\phi}}(y)}{\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}} \geq \frac{c_4\varepsilon^{-\alpha}}{c_5\varepsilon^{-\alpha} + c_{15}} \geq \frac{c_4}{c_5 + c_{15}},$$

the last expression is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha} \\
& \times\left[\frac{2}{n+1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{d/\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\eta^{-\alpha}c_4^2/(c_{13}(c_5 + c_{15}))}{n+1}\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha} \\
& \times\left[\frac{2}{n+1} + \left(1 + \frac{p}{n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\eta^{-\alpha}c_4^2/(c_{13}(c_5 + c_{15}))}{n+1}\right)\right] \\
\leq\ & c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha} \\
& \times\left[1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\left(\eta^{-\alpha}c_4^2/(c_{13}(c_5 + c_{15})) - 2 - 2p\right)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$f_{n+1,\varepsilon}(x,y) \leq c_{14}\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15}\right)^{n+1+d/\alpha}(n+1)^{-d/\alpha}.$$

□

Using the above lemmas we may estimate Γ_ε^n and in consequence also the exponent operator $e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} = e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon} e^{t\Gamma_\varepsilon}$.

LEMMA 6 *Assume (A.1) – (A.4). Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all nonnegative $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ we have*

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) \le c_{10} t \exp(c_{11} t) \int \varphi(y) \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \, dy, \quad \varepsilon \in (0,1).$$

Proof. By (7) and Lemma 3 for every φ such that $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ we get

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^n \varphi(x) \le \int \varphi(y) c_{10} n \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11}\right)^{n-1} \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \, dy,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) &\le c_{10} e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n n \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11}\right)^{n-1}}{n!} \int \varphi(y) \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \, dy \\ &= c_{10} e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon} t \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{11}\right)^{n}}{n!} \int \varphi(y) \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \, dy \\ &= c_{10} t \exp\left(c_{11} t\right) \int \varphi(y) \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}} \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 7 *Assume (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4). Then for every nonnegative $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) \le c_{14} \exp(c_{15} t) t^{-d/\alpha} \int \varphi(y) \, dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)} \varphi(x),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0 \wedge 1), t > 0$.

Proof. We directly deduce from Lemma 5 that for every $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon^n \varphi(x) \le c_{14} (\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15})^{n+d/\alpha} n^{-d/\alpha} \int \varphi(y) \, dy + \left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)^n \varphi(x),$$

and, consequently, by [25, Lemma 9], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) &\le e^{-t\bar{b}_\varepsilon}\left[c_{14}\int \varphi(y)\,dy \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n(\bar{b}_\varepsilon + c_{15})^{n+d/\alpha}}{n!n^{d/\alpha}} + e^{t\left(\bar{b}_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon(x)\right)}\varphi(x)\right] \\ &\le c_{14}\exp(c_{15}t)t^{-d/\alpha}\int \varphi(y)\,dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $t > 0$, $\varphi \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Denote: $D = \left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \phi(|y-x|)|y-x|^{-\alpha-d} < t^{-1-d/\alpha}\right\}$. Using Lemma 6 for $\mathbf{1}_D\varphi$ and Lemma 7 for $\mathbf{1}_{D^c}\varphi$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi(x) &= e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}[\mathbf{1}_D\varphi](x) + e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}[\mathbf{1}_{D^c}\varphi](x) \\ &\le C_1e^{C_2t}\left[\int_D \varphi(y)\frac{t\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\,dy + \int_{D^c}\varphi(y)t^{-d/\alpha}\,dy\right] \\ &\quad + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x) \\ &\le C_1e^{C_2t}\int \varphi(y)\min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right)dy + e^{-tb_\varepsilon(x)}\varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 12 in [25] we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|\mathcal{A}\varphi - \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\varphi\|_\infty = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A closure of $\mathcal{A}$ is a generator of a semigroup and from the Hille-Yosida theorem it follows that the range of $\lambda - \mathcal{A}$ is dense in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and therefore by Theorem 5.2 in [27] (see also [9]) we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\|e^{t\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}\varphi - P_t\varphi\|_\infty = 0,$$

for every $\varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Theorem 1 this yields

$$P_t\varphi(x) \le C_1e^{C_2t}\int \varphi(z)\min\left(t^{-d/\alpha}, \frac{t\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}}\right)dz,$$

for every nonnegative $\varphi \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

3 Discussion of examples

We now prove the condition (A.1) (c) for functions ϕ of the form (2) for restricted set of parameters β and γ. First we recall some well known geometric fact, see e.g. [20, Lemma 5.3].

LEMMA 8 *The volume of intersection of two balls $B(x, p+k)$ and $B(y, n-p)$ such that $|y-x| = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le p \le n-1$, $0 < k \le n-p$, is less than $ck^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \left(\min\{p+k, n-p\}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$.*

PROPOSITION 1 *Let the function ϕ be of the form (2). Then the assumption (A.1) (c) is satisfied if $\beta \in (0,1]$ and $\gamma < d/2 + \alpha - 1/2$.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in (0,1]$ and $\gamma < d/2 + \alpha - 1/2$. First note that there is an absolute constant $C = C(m, \beta, \gamma)$ such that $\phi(s)s^{-d-\alpha} \le C\phi(u)u^{-d-\alpha}$ for $|s-u| \le 1$ whenever $s, u \ge 1$. By this fact, with no loss of generality we may and do consider only the case when $|x-y| = n$ for some even natural number $n \ge 4$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x,1)^c \cap B(y,1)^c} \frac{\phi(|y-z|)}{|y-z|^{\alpha+d}} \frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}}\, dz \\
&\qquad \le 2\int_{B(x,1)^c \cap B(y,n-1)^c} + \int_{(B(x,1)^c \cap B(x,n-1)) \cup (B(y,1)^c \cap B(y,n-1))} \\
&\qquad = 2I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$I \le \frac{\phi(n-1)}{(n-1)^{\alpha+d}} \int_{B(0,1)^c} \frac{\phi(|z|)}{|z|^{\alpha+d}}\, dz \le C \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d}}$$

with some constant $C = C(m, \beta, \gamma, \alpha, d)$.

To estimate the term II we will need the additional notation. For $1 \le p < n/2$ and $0 \le k < n-p$ we denote:

- $D_p := \left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : n-p-1 \le |z-y| < n-p, |x-z| < |y-z|\right\}$,
- $D_{p,k} = D_p \cap \left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : p+k \le |z-x| < p+k+1\right\}$,
- $n_p := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : D_{p,k} \ne \emptyset\}$.

Clearly, $D_p \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{n_p} D_{p,k}$ and $D_{p,k} \subset B(x, p+k+1) \cap B(y, n-p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq 2^{\alpha+d-\gamma+1}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d+\gamma} \int_{1\leq|y-z|<n-1, |x-z|<|y-z|} \frac{e^{-m|x-z|^\beta} e^{-m|y-z|^\beta}}{|x-z|^{\alpha+d-\gamma}} dz \\ &= 2^{\alpha+d-\gamma+1}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d+\gamma} \sum_{p=1}^{n/2-1} \int_{D_p} \frac{e^{-m|x-z|^\beta} e^{-m|y-z|^\beta}}{|x-z|^{\alpha+d-\gamma}} dz \\ &\leq 2^{\alpha+d-\gamma+1}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d+\gamma} \sum_{p=1}^{n/2-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_p} \int_{D_{p,k}} \frac{e^{-m|x-z|^\beta} e^{-m|y-z|^\beta}}{|x-z|^{\alpha+d-\gamma}} dz \\ &\leq 2^{\alpha+d-\gamma+1}|y-x|^{-\alpha-d+\gamma} \sum_{p=1}^{n/2-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_p} \frac{e^{-m(p+k)^\beta} e^{-m(n-p-1)^\beta}}{(p+k)^{\alpha+d-\gamma}} \ |D_{p,k}|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(n-p)^\beta - (n-p-1)^\beta \leq \beta$ when $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Furthermore, since $p + k \leq p + n_p < n - p$, we also have $k^\beta + n^\beta \leq (p+k)^\beta + (n-p)^\beta$. These inequalities and Lemma 8 thus yield

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C \frac{e^{-mn^\beta}}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d-\gamma}} \sum_{p=1}^{n/2-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_p} e^{-mk^\beta} k^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (p+k)^{-\alpha-d+\gamma} \ (p+k)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \frac{e^{-m|y-x|^\beta}}{|y-x|^{\alpha+d-\gamma}} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} p^{-\frac{d+1}{2}-\alpha+\gamma} \ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-mk^\beta} k^{\frac{d+1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C = C(m, \beta, \gamma, \alpha, d)$. We conclude by observing that for $\beta > 0$ and $\gamma < d/2 + \alpha - 1/2$ the last two sums are bounded by constant. □

Remark 1 *(1) When $\beta > 1$, then the condition (c) in assumption (A.1) fails. This can be shown by estimating from below the integral*

$$\int_{B((x+y)/2,1)} \frac{\phi(|y-z|)}{|y-z|^{\alpha+d}} \frac{\phi(|z-x|)}{|z-x|^{\alpha+d}} \, dz$$

for $|y-x|$ big enough.

(2) Also, if $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = d/2 + \alpha - 1/2$, then at least for $d = 1$ the condition (c) in assumption (A.1) does not hold. In this case we have

$$\int_1^{x-1} e^{-(x-z)}(x-z)^{-1} e^{-z} z^{-1} \, dz = 2\log(x-1)e^{-x}x^{-1}, \quad x > 2.$$

References

[1] Blumenthal, R. M., Getoor, R. K.: Some theorems on stable processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95, 263–273 (1960).

[2] Bogdan, K., Jakubowski, T.: Estimates of heat kernel of fractional Laplacian perturbed by gradient operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 271 (1), 179–198 (2007).

[3] Bogdan, K., Sztonyk, P.: Estimates of potential kernel and Harnack's inequality for anisotropic fractional Laplacian. *Stud. Math.* 181, No. 2, 101-123 (2007).

[4] Böttcher, B., Schilling, R. L.: Approximation of Feller processes by Markov chains with Lévy increments. *Stoch. Dyn.* 9, No. 1, 71-80 (2009).

[5] Chen, Z.-Q., Kim, P. and Kumagai, T.: Global Heat Kernel Estimates for Symmetric Jump Processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363, no. 9, 5021–5055 (2011).

[6] Chen, Z.-Q., Kumagai, T.: Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d-sets. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 108, no. 1, 27–62 (2003).

[7] Chen, Z.-Q., Kumagai, T.: Heat kernel estimates for jump processes of mixed types on metric measure spaces. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 140, No. 1-2, 277-317 (2008).

[8] Ethier, S. N., Kurtz, T. G.: Markov processes - characterization and convergence. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley, New York - Chicester - Brisbane - Toronto - Singapore (1986).

[9] Hasegawa, M.: A Note on the Convergence of Semi-groups of Operators. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 40, 262–266 (1964).

[10] Hoh, W.: Pseudo differential operators generating Markov processes. Habilitationsschrift, Universität Bielefeld 1998.

[11] Hoh, W.: The martingale problem for a class of pseudo differential operators. *Math. Ann.* 300, 121–147 (1994).

[12] Hoh, W.: A symbolic calculus for pseudo differential operators generating Feller semigroups. *Osaka J. Math.* 35, 798–820 (1998).

[13] Jacob, N.: Feller semigroups, Dirichlet forms and pseudo differential operators. *Forum Math.* 4, 433–446 (1992).

[14] Jacob, N.: A class of Feller semigroups generated by pseudo differential operators. *Math. Z.* 215, 151–166 (1994).

[15] Jacob, N.: Pseudo differential operators and Markov processes. Vol. I : Fourier analysis and semigroups. Imperial College Press, London (2001).

[16] Jacob, N.: Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes, Vol. 2 : Generators and Their Potential Theory. Imperial College Press, London (2002).

[17] Jacob, N.: Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes, Vol. 3 : Markov Processes and Applications. Imperial College Press, London (2005).

[18] Knopova, V., Kulik, A.: Exact asymptotic for distribution densities of Lévy functionals, *Electronic Journal of Probability* 16, 1394-1433 (2011).

[19] Knopova, V., Schilling, R.: Transition density estimates for a class of Lévy and Lévy-type processes, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 25 (1), 144-170 (2012).

[20] Kulczycki. T, Siudeja B.: Intrinsic ultracontractivity of the Feynman-Kac semigroup for the relativistic stable process, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (11), 5025-5057 (2006).

[21] Lewandowski, M. Point regularity of p-stable density in $\mathcal{R}^d$ and Fisher information. *Probab. Math. Stat.* 19, No.2, 375-388 (1999).

[22] Schilling, R. L., Uemura, T.: On the Feller property of Dirichlet forms generated by pseudo differential operators. *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) 59, no. 3, 401–422 (2007).

[23] Sztonyk, P.: Regularity of harmonic functions for anisotropic fractional Laplacians. *Math. Nachr.* 283 No. 2, 289-311 (2010).

[24] Sztonyk, P.: Estimates of tempered stable densities, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 23 (1), 127-147 (2010).

[25] Sztonyk, P.: Approximation of Stable-dominated Semigroups, *Potential Anal.* 33, 211-226 (2010).

[26] Sztonyk, P.: Transition density estimates for jump Lévy processes, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 121, 1245-1265 (2011).

[27] Trotter, H. F.: Approximation of semi-groups of operators. *Pacific J. Math.* 8 (1958), 887–919.

[28] Watanabe, T.: Asymptotic estimates of multi-dimensional stable densities and their applications. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 359, No. 6, 2851-2879 (2007).